

# **Ethics Policy**

Updated: 5 June 2025

# **General Guidelines and Scope**

All authors must read and acknowledge this document upon submission to CAPjournal.

The Communicating Astronomy with the Public Journal (CAPjournal) is the only fully openaccess journal on astronomy communication. There is no charge to publish or read the journal. Both the International Astronomical Union (IAU) and the National Astronomical Observatory of Japan provide financial and in-kind support for the production and publication of CAPjournal.

CAPjournal aims to promote the professionalisation of astronomy communication by creating an environment where the ideas and frameworks of authors are supported, regardless of familiarity with English, academic writing, or the publication process.

### Organisational Structure

Since 2016, the CAPjournal has been published by the IAU and the Office for Astronomy Outreach (OAO). The journal is managed by the OAO staff, collectively making up the Editorial Staff. All OAO staff edit articles and support the production of the articles for publication.

The CAPjournal Editorial Staff strives to approach each article in an unbiased manner. When reviewing the material, the Staff does not consider authors' gender expression, race, religion, ethnicity, or other identifiers.

Members of the Editorial Board and peer reviewers are selected based on their profile and area of expertise, and span a wide range of languages, fields, and geographic locations.

CAPjournal follows a continuous publication schedule, allocating articles into volumes twice per year.

CAPjournal publishes articles that provide detailed best practices, case studies and rigorous research into the field of astronomy communication (i.e., research and applications, literature review, best practices, case study, interview, and column). Articles published in this journal substantially add to current understanding of the field; contributions that challenge well-established norms are welcome.

#### Article Recommendations

The Editorial Board and Peer Reviewers provide recommendations to the Editorial Staff regarding each of the articles (i.e. accept the paper as-is, ask the authors for minor revisions for likely acceptance, request major revisions for another review, or reject the paper).

The Editorial Staff will only intervene in these recommendations if there is a disagreement between the Editorial Board members' and peer reviewers' suggestions or if there is a perceived imbalance in perspective at any level. In these circumstances, the Editor-in-Chief will review the case and decide how to proceed.

# Anonymity

The CAPjournal review process is double-anonymous: authors do not know the identities of their reviewers, and reviewers do not know the identities of the author(s).

# **Ethics Policy**

#### Misconduct

Manuscripts submitted to CAPjournal should not be published, submitted to, or under consideration for publication in another journal.

CAPjournal reserves the right to reject any content it deems inappropriate or offensive.

Any report of misconduct will be treated with the utmost care and consideration, ensuring the anonymity of all parties involved. Please contact <a href="mailto:capjournal@oao.iau.org">capjournal@oao.iau.org</a> to report any issue or misconduct.

## Authorship

The author list should reflect all who have significantly contributed to a manuscript.

Significant contributions include the following:

- Initiating or designing the project that led to the submission
- Acquiring data, performing analysis or interpretation
- Writing and drafting the manuscript
- Engaging in clear and timely communication with the Editorial Staff
- Accepting responsibility for the article and the statements it makes, including any follow-up questions that may arise

All other contributions should be recognised in the acknowledgements but not included as authors.

Those cited as authors or recognised in the acknowledgements should have tangible contributions to the work.

The acknowledgements section should also reference any funding that supported the research.

The submitting author is assumed to be the first author and the corresponding author, and is responsible for verifying all co-authors' names and affiliations and that the co-authors approve of the final author list.

If the author list must change for any reason, all authors must approve and give their express consent to the change.

All content published in CAPjournal should be truthful and represent the work of the authors involved. If the authors make an honest mistake, they should immediately contact the Editorial Staff to amend the issue at <a href="mailto:capjournal@oao.iau.org">capjournal@oao.iau.org</a>.

All figures and images published in the CAPjournal will be appropriate and not violate an existing copyright. It is the authors' responsibility to ensure proper consent has been secured to include and publish images of children under the age of 18.

#### References

Authors should ensure their statements are supported by the relevant literature. All cited literature must be referenced in the body of the text and must represent actual published work. Not that using references to promote an individual's work is unethical. Authors should provide all references in APA 7th Edition format.

## Plagiarism

The CAPjournal Editorial Staff does not tolerate plagiarism. This includes self-plagiarism (copying text from your own previously published works) and improper referencing. Before any article is sent to the Editorial Board or peer reviewers, the Editorial Staff performs a plagiarism check. Any suspected plagiarism may result in rejection of the submission.

#### Artificial Intelligence

The authors are required to disclose if artificial intelligence (AI) has been used at any point in the production of the submission or the work on which it is based. This includes, but is not limited to, producing text, images and translations. The Editorial Staff reserves the right to reject any submission that has improperly used AI in its production or has been found to have employed AI without proper disclosure.

#### Conflict of Interest

Both authors and reviewers must be open and honest about potential conflicts of interest. If an author or reviewer suspects a potential conflict of interest, they should immediately contact the Editorial Staff at <a href="mailto:capiournal@oao.iau.org">capiournal@oao.iau.org</a>.

For authors, this includes (but is not limited to) disclosing the following:

- any sources of funding or support, whether direct or in-kind
- all affiliations (including unpaid and memberships)
- any potentially advantageous personal relationships

For reviewers, this includes (but is not limited to) disclosing the following:

- if they have competing interests with the authors or their work
- if they have a professional or personal relationship with any of the authors (e.g., if they are employed at the same institution or are collaborating with any of the authors)

The Editorial Staff must also avoid potential conflicts of interest:

- members of the Editorial Staff and Editorial Board cannot publish as first authors
- any problems that arise must be communicated to the Editorial Staff